

TWO WOMEN

The Mystery Daughters of Jerusalem and Babylon

The Mal :VI PT1 : June 2013

WHY THE “DAUGHTER” REFERENCE TO NATIONS?

Why does God call nations and cities *daughters*? Anyone who has studied the bible has read over those nation/city identifying phrases many times, but I have yet to hear of anyone who understands it. Observe two instances regarding Jerusalem and Babylon, [Micah 4:8 And thou, O tower of the flock, the strong hold of the daughter of Zion, unto thee shall it come, even the first dominion; the kingdom shall come to the daughter of Jerusalem.], and [Isaiah 47:1 Come down, and sit in the dust, O virgin daughter of Babylon, sit on the ground: there is no throne, O daughter of the Chaldeans: for thou shalt no more be called tender and delicate.] Is “daughter” only a metaphor or is there an important understanding associated with it? One might theorize God uses “daughter” to describe a nation, city, or people, so as to formulate through words a human understanding of lessons or events, and no meaning beyond this. The other possibility is there *is* an important or even *critical* understanding connected to it, such as how Jesus explains in parables: so that the fainthearted might *not* know critical information, but the worthy will be given to read the same words and be edified. [Mat 13:10 And the disciples came, and said unto him, Why speakest thou unto them in parables? He answered and said unto them, Because it is given unto you to know the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven, but to them it is not given.] Erring on the side of caution we might conclude mysterious labeling such as “daughter” is *not* frivolous and is worth a closer examination. If the purpose of speaking in parables is *not* stylistic or unnecessary, then the information is critical. If men were saved only by the indwelling spirit to confess the name whereby we read, [Mat 10:32 Whosoever therefore shall confess me before men, him will I confess also before my Father which is in heaven.], and deeds based on our understanding have *nothing* to do with salvation, (only belief), how can it be we are judged according to what we have done in our bodies, as *this* scripture informs us? [2 Corinthians 5:10 For we must all appear before the judgment seat of Christ; that every one may receive the things done in his body, according to that he hath done, whether *it be* good or bad.] Shall we say then our knowledge in scripture does *not* affect our salvation? I deem that those found when Christ appears having not understood the law will have that same man as his enemy. I say to those who believe in Jesus the Son of God unto continual study of scripture, prayer, holiness, and works, even rising early to teach and stretch out the hand to the poor, *but* who failed in obedience to notice there is but one commandment of the law whereby God threatens every soul with everlasting death, shall have the same man as witness against him. By terror and agony almost too great for me (I was loved therewith) I understood my failure was not intelligence, nor access, nor circumstance wherewith I did not understand, but by willful disobedience having rebelled against *that same man*, my father in heaven. And I rebelled for what I wanted in the world: I said in myself *it is not enough for me*, but I was wrong and when stricken I knew my rebellious nature.

We know there are critical understandings and therefore there must be fatal *misunderstandings*, and what if understanding the “daughter” reference is such a vital understanding? To consider the reason for asking the question, why not call Zion the “*son of Zion*”, and why not call Jerusalem the “*son of Jerusalem*”, as the reference suggests the leadership (Jerusalem being the capitol city of God), and the *leadership* is almost exclusively held by *men*? Consider clearly that whatever the reason, God calls his people “daughter” as we see many times throughout scripture. Take a look at these two “daughter” references to what appears to be a simultaneous reference to that which is a nation, city, people and person: first Jerusalem: [Lam 1:1 How doth the city sit solitary, *that was* full of people! *how is she* become as a widow! she that was great among the nations, and princess among the provinces, *how is she* become tributary!], [Lam 1:8 Jerusalem hath grievously sinned; therefore she is removed: all that honoured her despise her, because they have seen her nakedness: yea, she sigheth, and turneth backward.]. Now Babylon: [Zec 2:7 Deliver thyself, O Zion, that dwellest *with* the daughter of Babylon.]

One thought before I understood the divine nature of *all* the words of God was the reference to women was used when the description was for shame, as long hair to cover, or sorrow, as travail in birth, but if one examines the whole of scripture this would not fit either, as there are also descriptions of *good* glory, at least for the daughter of Jerusalem. In the question of this feminine reference for a people, nation, or city, an idea started to form in my mind around the *dual being* concept, wherein the “them” describes a single entity, and “male and female” describes its two parts. [Gen 1:27 So God created man in his *own* image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them.] Notice the “him” followed by “them” might suggest a singular entity which is divided into male and female, two people, yet they are him, and Adam. The way the story is told in Genesis it appears as though Eve is a *derivative* of Adam, taken from a rib, and is made to be a *helper* [Gen 2:20 but for Adam there was not found an help meet for him.]. Notice Adam proclaims when he sees her, [Gen 2:23 And Adam said, This *is* now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh: she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man.], which

seems to distract from the idea it is a single entity made of two parts, male and female, but what does that *entity* represent or function as, or how should we view such a singularity? It seems as though the woman is a derivative of the man and these two are part of a single entity, which can be seen in the first speaking of the creation of man; that the making of *them* was as male and female, and it does not say, “he made man which was only male or non-gendered”, but the “male and female” are included in the statement of creating *them* in his image. Therefore we might take away that the two are one, [Mark 10:8 And they twain shall be one flesh: so then they are no more twain, but one flesh. Mark 10:9 What therefore God hath joined together, let not man put asunder.], but notice the man is created first, and throughout scripture you will notice first the male, then the female, first the father, then the mother, so that we might understand the male is first, higher in authority and priority, and value to God (see Leviticus 27:2-7).

The two part being concept might be observed in the husband and wife, and father and mother we experience here. We read Christ is the head of man, and man is the head of woman (1 Cor 11:3), and man ought consider his wife his own flesh [Eph 5:28 So ought men to love their wives as their own bodies. He that loveth his wife loveth himself.], [Eph 5:29 For no man ever yet hated his own flesh; but nourisheth and cherisheth it, even as the Lord the church:]. Notice this correlation: [Pro 8:36 But he that sinneth against me wrongeth his own soul.] Is the simultaneous existence of the Holy Spirit and the souls of men the connection in understanding why a whole people might be named in the feminine? If the spirit is *us* by reason of indwelling, and the spirit is *female*, then that might explain why Jerusalem is called the *daughter of his people*. This is connected to the mystery of [Rev 22:17 And the Spirit and the bride say, Come.]

Do we have a female spirit who is also a human being, as well as a land, *and* a city with golden streets? I think there is an important reason God names his people *daughter*, and the city is the “daughter of Jerusalem”, and “the daughter of Zion”, as this terminology is used frequently. Of this I think there is an important understanding regarding the divide of male and female, and the mystery concerning women in particular; for I would say we go about these lives, marrying and having children, and years and thousands of years later and still nobody understands the great divide. If we have a father and mother in this worldly life, and man is the image of God, it would not be unreasonable to suggest there is a father *and* a mother in heaven; then a question might be if there is an “oversoul” (a single soul which lives in many people simultaneously), is that oversoul not part male and part female, and if so then why is Jerusalem called a “she” and “daughter”? It would make more sense to call the holy city a “he” or “him”, because the leadership of Israel and indeed the twelve apostles and almost all the saints and prophets were all male, and further there was never a queen over Israel, neither a princess, nor any feminine royal title or leadership of the Jews in scripture. Notice the only instance of a female head of Israel, Deborah the prophetess, is not called a queen or any royal title, but a judge: [Judges 4:4 And Deborah, a prophetess, the wife of Lapidoth, she judged Israel at that time.], which suggests royal title is not given to women *unless* there is a king in place above them, see [Micah 5:1 the judge of Israel with a rod upon the cheek.],[Psalms 45:9 the queen in gold of Ophir.] (Which two scriptures I believe speak of the same person). What if there is an actual feminine character to the city, whereby it is called “daughter” and not “son”. Could the reason be connected to why men call a ship “she”, or to refer to earth as “mother earth” [Revelation 12:16 And the earth helped the woman, and the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed up the flood which the dragon cast out of his mouth.]? It could be then that the land or vessel actually has a spirit in it?

We know the male spirit of Jesus has an omnipresence, [Thomas 77 Jesus said, Lift the stone and there you will find me. Split the wood and I am there.], and [John 14:23 Jesus answered and said unto him, If a man love me, he will keep my words: and my Father will love him, and we will come unto him, and make our abode with him.] Therefore we will have Jesus the man, and we will have his spirit in us and all things *simultaneously*. Therefore his spirit would also be in the city, and so then, especially since Jesus is king of that city forever, why is Jerusalem called “daughter” and not “son”? Notice the same goes for the land of the Chaldeans, which *nation* is called Babylon and its capitol city is *also* called Babylon. [Psalm 137:8 O daughter of Babylon, who art to be destroyed; happy *shall he be*, that rewardeth thee as thou hast served us.], and [Rev 18:7 How much she hath glorified herself, and lived deliciously, so much torment and sorrow give her: for she saith in her heart, I sit a queen, and am no widow, and shall see no sorrow.] Notice how personal that sounds, and is it an unreasonable question to ask if this also is not a living woman? As to Jerusalem being a living woman, take another look at these verses. [Psalm 45:9 Kings' daughters *were among* thy honourable women: upon thy right hand did stand the queen in gold of Ophir.], and [Psa 45:14 She shall be brought unto the king in raiment of needlework: the virgins her companions that follow her shall be brought unto thee.] How can one say “honorable women”, “the queen” and “virgins her companions” if the queen is not an actual person?

THE GREAT WHORE WHO IS A WOMAN AND A CITY WHICH RULES THE KINGS OF EARTH

[Rev 17:18 And the woman which thou sawest is that great city, which reigneth over the kings of the earth.]

In the context of this idea offered that the cities of Jerusalem and Babylon are in fact *flesh* women *also*, what is the mystery of this? The concept of spirits or souls is murky for use in logical finding as we do not have a testable evidence of their existence, but what we know from scripture (and numerous witnesses) is that an intelligent being of some kind dwells within the flesh and retains said intelligence when separated from the flesh. This invisible self we appear to be is called a soul, a spirit, or a ghost, such as we read, *he gave up the ghost*. We also know of this ghost that we go somewhere, and have something resembling a living existence though it no longer dwells in the flesh. From the near death witnesses I observed, this soul we appear to be possesses much if not more of the same ability to feel pleasure and pain, and even suffer damage the same as our flesh bodies, and so I had the thought what we have is an inter-dimensional life wherein the core of what we are is in some kind of body closer to energy than matter which also retains a specific shape, even a characteristic shape of a body and face which affects the physical appearance of people regardless the lineage of the flesh. Where it becomes hard to understand is the reason it comes to dwell in these flesh bodies, which flesh has characteristics affecting the person we experience in the world.

Let us say however these spirit bodies apart from flesh bodies can be compared to our visible bodies, and like the flesh these spirits are born as children and have a growth cycle just like the flesh, though the reality may also be they are created complete and unchanging. God's word tells us there is a relationship of father, mother, and child regarding all souls. If Adam had only a father, how is it then he speaks with the authority of knowing that a man shall leave his father and mother and cleave to his wife, as we read here: [Gen 2:24 Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife: and they shall be one flesh.]? We do not know because it is not included in the information given to us, whether Adam had a mother or not, but (it appears) we read God created him a fully formed man from the dust of the earth, but even if he did not have a mother, he must have come into knowledge of a mother so as to speak of it when God presented Eve to him. Perhaps God before then explained to Adam how men would be born into the world, and at some time marry a woman. Or not that, but Adam *did* have a childhood, only this was not issued in the word.

We read in scripture that souls have parents that are not actually their blood or DNA derived parents. [Luke 14:26 If any *man* come to me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple.] and yet the law says to honor your father and mother, how can you honor them if you hate them? Therefore I say reprobates will find some way to justify bringing honor to those they hate, but common sense will prevail for the accepted *applicant*. Therefore if we decide as living spirits (spirits living in flesh), or as spirits apart from flesh, the understanding is there is a person of God who is called our Father, and he loves us, and there is a person called our Mother, and she loves us, and these are called our Father and Mother because indeed we have parents in heaven, and these parents appear also living with us [Thomas (15) Jesus said: When you see him who was not born of woman, fall down upon your faces and worship him; that one is your Father.], then there is something else to consider about souls having parents: the enemies of God *also* have a father and mother, as we read [John 8:44 Ye are of *your* father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.] Here Jesus clearly says the Pharisees have a father, and these Jews who cannot hear anything Jesus is saying *inherit* the quality of not being able to hear the truth. Therefore if they have that which is called a father, and inherit his traits the way our flesh children inherit *our* traits, then I say there is a fair chance that by conception, in *addition* perhaps to creation, souls are made. Therefore it follows enemies of God, the sons of Lucifer, have also a mother, and likely not just one since Satan does not strike me as the monogamous type. I imagine the likelihood there were many women or female spirits he copulated with to produce souls, but my feeling is there are probably more significant women that might have been primary progenitors of the enemies of God, maybe even one primary mother for which the majority of souls were born. I say this because if people *are* gods, then larger male spirits might likely end up with larger female spirits, or we might find that as a wayward son of God was created as the principal head of evil, so also was there is a female counterpart or sister of Satan. If there are leaders of evil that are male there might also be leader types that are female, if not counterparts. But I wonder if there is one evil woman in particular who, as Satan is an "oversoul" of sorts, is the *queen* of evil. This is the impression I get from this verse in Proverbs: [Pro 6:23 For the commandment *is* a lamp; and the law *is* light; and reproofs of instruction *are* the way of life: Pro 6:24 To keep thee from the evil woman, from the flattery of the tongue of a strange woman.]

Certainly this could mean what on its face it appears, *any woman* that is an evil woman, which men who bind not the law to their heart will end up serving. People are herded into one of two camps: Jesus or Satan, which

for the servitude of one receives a name written on their forehead, or some of Satan's in their hand. As we know Jesus and Satan appear in the flesh in last days, and as I explained my theory the feminine counterpart of Jesus, the queen of Psalms 45, also appears with him, *then* it might be also the feminine counterpart of Satan appears in the earth as well, who is also the mother of harlots and abominations: Babylon. If that is the case then the true spiritual parents concept might come into play, wherein also as souls who choose not Jesus unto salvation, but Satan unto damnation, also come into bondage of the evil mother. If the evil mother is the evil and oppressive city Babylon of the last days, then it means souls rejecting the salvation of Christ Jesus come into bondage of that great and evil city, *and the woman* who is the soul of that city.

This scripture [Eph 2:2 Wherein in time past ye walked according to the course of this world, according to the prince of the power of the air, the spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience:] indicates the spirit of Satan comes into souls and dwells in them affecting their lives, similar to the way Jesus says *he* comes into souls and affects them in a positive way. I have not found yet, if as wisdom is a part of the soul [Pro 8:36 But he that sinneth against me wrongeth his own soul:] the suggested queen of evil is a part of souls also. It seems to me convenient for this theory to name the two women that are cities: Jerusalem and Babylon, so I will call them Sarah and Lilith. It *is* scriptural to propose that *as* Jesus and Satan appear as men, so also Jerusalem and Babylon, cities who are also women, appear also as flesh in the last days.

[Isa 57:3 But draw near hither, ye sons of the sorceress, the seed of the adulterer and the whore.] Notice "sorceress" and "whore" are singular, not sorceresses or whores. [Isa 57:4 Against whom do ye sport yourselves? against whom make ye a wide mouth, *and* draw out the tongue? *are* ye not children of transgression, a seed of falsehood,] In these two verses there is a fair case for a *female* head of the enemies of God, or primary mother of evil. Could it be this evil seed is the seed of the serpent from the garden of Eden? [Gen 3:14 And the LORD God said unto the serpent, Because thou hast done this, thou *art* cursed above all cattle, and above every beast of the field; upon thy belly shalt thou go, and dust shalt thou eat all the days of thy life: Gen 3:15 And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.] Could the seed of abomination be the mingling of the seed of a beast with the seed of man? I wonder if the Isa 57:3 "sons" is *not* also daughters, if this might be related to the sons of God who left their first estate when they saw the daughters of men were fair, (making note these "sons of God" must all have been male)? This may be an entirely different event as we know the offspring of the angels were giants, but reptilians are known to be giants, and if one hypothesizes the serpent in the garden is of or means the reptilians (for more on reptilians, type that word into YouTube), this might be related, as also Satan himself is called a serpent, a dragon, and a beast. Even though the serpent in the garden is a beast and therefore it is against the law for man to mate with them, still here we have a beast who is in fact intelligent and conversant. [Gen 3:1 Now the serpent was more subtle than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made. And he said unto the woman, Yea, hath God said, Ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden?] Therefore I say serpent does not mean snake you see slithering on the ground, but a bipedal humanoid reptilian being, a race existing perhaps millions of years before Adam came into being.

Compare two problems as they affect these women Sarah and Lilith: whoredom and widowhood. As we read of Jerusalem, [Lamentations 1:9 Her filthiness *is* in her skirts; ...] This is commonly understood as the whoredom of the Jews who burned incense to other Gods on every high hill, but was it *only* a people gone astray, or a woman as well? Notice the following reference to a soul, as this feminine self who is Jerusalem, the daughter of Judah: [Lam 1:16 For these *things* I weep; mine eye, mine eye runneth down with water, because the comforter that should relieve my soul is far from me: my children are desolate, because the enemy prevailed.] You have a person here, who says "my soul". Is the comforter the spirit, who is far from her for her whoredom? Notice in the following verse God says "she is cast from heaven to earth", as though for sin she gets cast from heaven to earth similarly to Satan. [Lam 2:1 How hath the Lord covered the daughter of Zion with a cloud in his anger, *and* cast down from heaven unto the earth the beauty of Israel, and remembered not his footstool in the day of his anger!] It may be this female person and spirit which resides in the people may have been living in heaven, and as she committed her whoredom in heaven it translated into whoredom of the people who are *of* her spirit. Notice the undeniable reference to sexual needs of the flesh, [Ezekiel 16:2 Son of man, cause Jerusalem to know her abominations,], [Eze 16:28 Thou hast played the whore also with the Assyrians, because thou wast unsatiable; yea, thou hast played the harlot with them, and yet couldest not be satisfied.], [Eze 16:30 How weak is thine heart, saith the Lord GOD, seeing thou doest all these *things*, the work of an imperious whorish woman;] But now here is a contradiction that the whoredom is of a woman having sex with men, [Lam 2:13 what shall I equal to thee, that I may comfort thee, O virgin daughter of Zion?] *If* there is reference to a person, how if she has committed whoredom is she then called a virgin? I read a theory that the virginity of these cities means they have not yet been invaded by an enemy army, but considering the importance of the reference to a *person* of the city, I do not think that is the teaching of "virgin". But why is God including these elements referring to a female person of some kind?

Who can understand it, and what is the use of it? What shall women of the kingdom of God who might live into heaven learn from this? That Jerusalem the woman had a strong sexual appetite and behaved like a whore? Why is the “daughter of Zion” synonymous with Jerusalem, and why does he call her his “footstool”? If the daughter of Zion is only the reference to a physical city, or is only a reference to the people that comprise the capitol city of the Jewish nation, how then can God “cast down from heaven unto the earth” a city or a people of a city that are already on the earth? The only thing we know of in scripture that is cast down from heaven to earth is Satan and his angels who refused to worship Adam, and because Satan said that he would set his throne up over God’s throne and be like he is.

The scripture says the daughter of Zion played the whore with the Assyrians because she was insatiable. She played the prostitute with them yet she was not satisfied for doing this. He says she has a weak heart because she does all these things and it is the work of an *imperious and whorish woman*. The “imperious” refers to empire as opposed to kingdom. Jerusalem behaved as an empire rather than a kingdom. The primary difference between a kingdom and an empire is that a kingdom refers to rule of a single nation, whereas as empire is the rule of a nation and several or more other nations, i.e. Britain with its colonies Australia, Canada, etc.. The “imperious” is ambitious expansionism which is Jerusalem participating with surrounding nations in various abominations to increase her wealth and influence. It is “the work of an imperious and whorish woman”, which appears kingless as though *she* as an empress is ambitious to expand her dominion. By abominations she ambitiously expands her empire but she is never satisfied. Could it be there was a whole drama playing out in heaven where there was a female leader of some kind and that living also in the people on earth she committed whoredom through them, though in fact her person was in heaven?

[Ezekiel 16:2 Son of man, cause Jerusalem to know her abominations,] Who is “Son of man”, that should cause Jerusalem to know her abominations? The prophet Ezekiel? I confess my ignorance of the experience of women, and those in male flesh might find a pre-heaven bias to fully search out their truth, but I had the thought that men who were made for power, kings, leaders of men, *larger* men if you will, are made to *desire* their authority, their dominion. Kings self made felt *desire* within them, and before they were a king had *ambition* to become. We know there are different characters types of men, each with different gifts from God, and of these differences I point to men created as rulers and those not. Leadership types: kings, captains, whatever, are typically ambitious, and historically *sexually* ambitious. It follows then that *female* created people (astral bodies created male and female) would also be ambitious, which translates also into increased sexual appetite, however women suffer a distinct disadvantage. While adultery is frowned upon for a king or similarly successful male, he none the less looks like a winner for having sex with multiple women and siring children by them regardless of marital status, but a woman for same thing looks like and *is* a contemptible whore, as women are painfully aware. The reality however is that people are what they are, and what inner forces move people tend to be greater than any conscious thought to prohibit (not being an excuse, for those not dying daily). Therefore if you have a female leadership type that is the Eve as it were of an ambitious king type (if we derive that women are derivatives of their first created male counterparts), chances are they would have the same increased sexual ambition, which might even be a simple result of the animal-survival instinct wherein success of the earthly body fosters a corresponding impulse to spread one’s genes.

Observe the two women and their attitude towards the problems that face them:

SARAH (JERUSALEM)

[Lam 1:4 The ways of Zion do mourn, ... and she *is* in bitterness.], [Lam 1:7 Jerusalem remembered in the days of her affliction and of her miseries], [Lam 1:12 ...behold, and see if there be any sorrow like unto my sorrow], [Lam 1:16 For these *things* I weep; mine eye, mine eye runneth down with water], [Lam 1:20 Behold, O LORD; for I am in distress: my bowels are troubled; mine heart is turned within me; for I have grievously rebelled:], [Isa 54:4 the reproach of thy widowhood]

LILITH (BABYLON)

[Rev 17:4 And the woman was arrayed in purple and scarlet colour, and decked with gold and precious stones and pearls], [Rev 17:6 And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints], [Rev 18:7 How much she hath glorified herself, and lived deliciously, so much torment and sorrow give her: for she saith in her heart, I sit a queen, and am no widow, and shall see no sorrow.]

Both women suffer from whoredom and widowhood, and both cities are brought to ruin by invaders; but each has a different response to these problems. Nowhere do we read that Babylon *mourns* for the punishment of God upon her, but Jerusalem weeps sore in bitterness. We hear Jerusalem cry, she speaks of her distress, her heart troubled within her, but where is the regret of Babylon? Jerusalem in her torment confesses, she says “I have grievously rebelled”. Do we read any confession of Babylon? The people of Jerusalem cry to God, and say for their sin God has shut out their prayer. The people of Jerusalem say, [Lam 5:7 Our fathers have sinned, *and are* not; and

we have borne their iniquities.], but where is the lamentation of the Chaldeans? Do they say, “We have sinned, let us turn to God”? Will the Babylonians (Americans) with their serpent king offer up remorse for their sin, if it is not found in God’s word, and their queen/city/nation says, I shall see no sorrow?

As the hand of God is stretched out upon her, and Jerusalem’s affliction is such that the children are meat for their mothers, [Lam 4:10 The hands of the pitiful women have sodden their own children: they were their meat in the destruction of the daughter of my people.], she also realizes her widowhood, for the Lord her maker is her husband, but he has forsaken her, therefore she is a widow. [Isa 54:7 For a small moment have I forsaken thee; but with great mercies will I gather thee.] Therefore the reproach of her widowhood is known to her, for in her destruction came the remembrance of God, which she had forgotten.

Babylon denies being a widow, and notice God gives us a view of her attitude toward the condition of widowhood in particular. She says, “I sit a queen, and am no widow, and shall see no sorrow.” One might think that Satan would be her husband since Babylon is the tool of his power and capitol city from which he rules the nations in anger, (Isaiah 14:12) but she could not be a widowed queen if that was the case. What significance is there to God telling us it is a queen, *if one thinks that* is a true thing she says of herself? The city *and* queen Babylon is the source of wealth from which the merchants of the world grow rich and defiled by her fornication and abominations. At this present time (2012) and for some time before if America were to stop exporting food, a multitude of nations would have sudden mass starvation, and could it be this is done on purpose, the conditions of famine that is, to keep control over the world while also appearing charitable?

We tend to focus on Satan and his antichrist, but what about the great mother of all whores and queen of the rebellious toward God, that great and mighty city Babylon? Who is that woman who hates the saints and the apostles who keep the commandments of God, and the testimony of Jesus Christ? That woman, that queen makes it her work to vilify the saints because she hates vehemently all will not depart from God’s word, which departure she imagines as righteousness. For her filthiness God has closed her eyes, and her mind is alienated from truth. She watches from her perch, her high abode, and decrees to her servants to scourge the saints in every place and concoct a story of defamation for them “the the adherers to old darkness: the Christian bible”. She sets her armies in array, her human pigs and crafty serpents and roaches, building an encircling snare that the saints might be brought finally into the courts and found guilty. She has her secular lawyers and godly priests alike gather the evidence against these who refuse to serve her or worship at her temples which worship the beast. She makes an all encompassing story of the “brotherhood of light and love”, a joining of earth and star brothers. The story is woven gradually over time, day by day in the news and the internet which belong to her and her antichrist beast Satan she rides to glory. The men that bow to her are dogs who eagerly turn the wheels of her machine, writing books of renown and making great images (movies) which complete the tale being told: that God is a god of inclusion, and not a separator, and God is not a racist as the Jews of the Old Testament, and certainly does not have the worst of all qualities: *hate*. Notice the tale she and her children which have no light in them have spun: the “political incorrectness” of being a racist and a hater, but what is really being done with this? She is using the *truly* despicable forms of hatred and bigotry to vilify the *righteous* forms of these same negative emotions, as though for having them means they are a bad person (but what righteous man doesn’t *hate* evil?). Surely you cannot say it is not racism that God orders the Jews to slay very last remnant of the giants, which is the abomination offspring of angels that left their first estate and unlawfully mixed with human seed. One cannot say God himself is not a hater, when he says [Rev 2:6 But this thou hast, that thou hatest the deeds of the Nicolaitans, which I also hate.], and [Luke 14:26 If any *man* come to me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple.]. In fact Luke 14:26 makes irrefutable that fact that hate is *necessary* to enter life. Have you heard the clergy of Babylon teach that hatred is required to enter heaven, even hatred of persons, and without it discipleship to Jesus Christ is impossible, and who enters heaven by any means and not an apostle? Now you can see the danger in the vilification by these so called “brothers of light and love” of *any* form of hatred. This is the cornerstone tool of these children of darkness, to twist the negative aspects of racism and hate to defame the *righteous* forms, and make God’s word which is tried as silver seven times in a furnace, to be outdated bigotry and ugly hate of the past (as though man is *now* above these base things). These messages of deception (anything that makes it into movie theatres or book stores) lead hearts away from truth and adherence to God’s word.

The queen of Babylon’s story does not work if people adhere to God’s word, but she cannot directly speak against the bible lest the ire of believers be drawn, so she creates a narrative, a *continuous* theme, a political and cultural correctness which justifies discrediting key scripture, and makes unpalatable by common standard the dark sayings of ancient words. People will feel uncomfortable and appear as separatists (isolationists), racist, and haters, who adhere to God’s words and do not depart from *any* of them. She likens bible adherents to all who are inspired to religious atrocities, comparing the words of God to the words of the false prophets Mohammed and Joseph

Smith, and all the weak hearted and therefore reprobated fools who adhere to obvious nonsense or plagiarisms. The minions of the great whore write words of skill and poetry, weaving a larger narrative ever closer toward making a righteous-sounding outcry of injustice against the so-called darkness of God's holy word. Who has *more* friends? she says, those who adhere to all the words of the bible, even the stoning of children, and extermination of every last person of an enemy *race*, or those who depart from those old and hateful words, and wake up to the dawn of reason and *loving* enlightenment? Who has more friends? she says, The separatists, the racists, the haters? or those who realize with the light of a new age that we are *all* brothers, and we should love and accept *everyone*, rather than exclude some with hateful words like "sin", and "hate", and all the words of exclusion, separation, and condemnation in the bible?

She makes the consensus, that all those who will adhere to the old words are those who favor the evil of the past. Every day in the news is a new chapter, a new revelation of the horror and darkness, edging ever closer, and every story just on the boundary but not quite saying the words of the bible are the words of evil. In fact her latest method is to use her own evil forces as a scapegoat, the ancient gods, space aliens, the giants of old, and *these* are the true authors of the scripture, and she makes the salvation in Christ to be discredited by interesting, creative, and partial-truth revelations. She can vilify with great success the name of Christianity by all the evil of her whorish churches who line their pockets with the money of those who do not come for the truth, but for justification in continual refusal to follow the Ten Commandments. She says, Let us love the whores, our sisters who only work to support their families. She says, Let us turn from those old words and old ways of thinking so that we can liberate those who love each other with homosexuality. The mother of whores says, "Do not read the words of condemnation, those old and bigoted beliefs which seek to exclude so many wonderful loving people!" And she is successful! Now if you speak out against those who defile the land with abominations *you* are the bad guys, the bigots, who vote against legalizing same sex marriage! Sure her bookstores have bibles, but she does not need to worry because media speaks louder and has discredited God's words to the wider audience: the television, the movies, the famous books, the internet, all have made unfashionable those old words of the Christian bible. Further there are new bibles watered down so as to soften the hard edges of God's law and truth of consequences for the disobedient. It is to the point now where if you have a bible out and reading you are mocked and lumped in with the stupid people that pack the beggarly churches.

THE SIGNS OF THE HARLOT'S CHURCH

A Christian should be well versed: all who shall believe in the name of Jesus Christ as the son of God and payment of sin might be saved, and those *not* having that name have only the wrath of God on their head, however even with that saying of their doctrine the pastors of Babylon's churches, the writers of her profitable published books, and the theologians on the radio, teach continual lies which cause men to err. We have all heard what we thought were good sermons, and they teach many interesting things, but there is one test of demonic wicked spirits that works every time: confrontation, the saying something is wrong, the disagreement with their doctrine. I found that I knew nothing of people until I had a disagreement with them and spoke to them of it. Wicked souls and demonic spirits that live with them, as well as Satan and his indwelling spirit in souls, all have in common a weakness whereby they can be discovered. I found that as long as you are positive and not negative these demons can seem like very nice people, but only if you speak of something being wrong, either with them or the world, do they show their true colors. Demonic spirits are largely invisible to us but live all around us and in us, and they all have in common a terrible guilt for what they truly are. People and these demon spirits in them hide this from themselves because they cannot stand its ugliness, which ugliness is the manifestation of their various sins. Whether it is people or evil spirits, the uncovering of that ugliness is a great terror to them, and thus a great cause of anger when confronted. It is like the rage of a child when they are caught in their wrong doing. Adults in their pride past a certain point cannot stand rebuke, especially those with the Spirit of the Lord, Yeah and even great gifts therewith, with which became various Christian authorities, acceptable and beloved of men. If you say something is wrong with their doctrine they become irreparably offended, or some react by not even hearing what you had to say, neither responding as I found.

I found a most stinging anguish to evil spirits: the written words there is something wrong. If your written words are only positive these same demonic spirits will love you, and be friendly and nice people anyone would want to know, but if your written words should speak of something wrong, then suddenly the same who loved you will gnash their teeth and cry evil of you. In the community I made notice of negligence and corruption, and in the congregation wicked souls agreed and rose up in fury that any more letters should come from me. The loved rabbi and the respected doctor loved my scriptural revelation *The Princess of the Jews*, but when I fulfilled the scripture to publish the downfall of the great whore in *J5051 Babylon Is Taken!* they completely detested and discredited my

words, but then said, We did not detest nor discredit. They made arguments against that writing without logic, and they slandered me with subtle slander to discredit me in the fury my words caused them. Where is the love? they said, to make me cold, but the Lord loves judgment, which they did not read to understand for they are rebellious.

I determined the churches of Babylon are all false by reason they cannot exist without considerable money which any physical property requires, and so to run a church a continual flow of money from parishioners is required. But what will happen if a preacher should actually preach judgment or confront the sin of his attendees? Guess who has the biggest most popular churches, even these ridiculous mega-churches which God has nothing to do with? That is right, the ones that are the most positive and uplifting, and never judgmental or saying something is wrong. Who does not enjoy to listen to the well spoken pastor with his positive sermons? They *are* uplifting, insightful, and skillfully presented, but guess what? They might as well break out the booze and whores to round out their reward. Do not hold back I say, get that fine automobile and sip wine from secured villas, lest your wages be unfit your works! Can you imagine what would happen if the hugely popular health-and-wealth pastor were to talk about sin and judgment as it ought to be? Can you imagine the shock and dismay you would see on the faces of their congregation, who attend *not* for God, but for Satan? Can you imagine if the popular positive pastor came before the crowd and preached that no soul including him knows they shall be approved of the Lord and enter heaven, until they *actually* enter heaven, *and* that because of this the most important thing is to obey the Ten Commandments? Can you imagine the dismay if he said, “Who here thinks they will enter heaven, yet be breaking any one of the Ten Commandments?” Imagine the dismay of the bookkeeper for the pornography subsidiary, or the consternation of the employee who shovels the chemicals into the tobacco mixture, or the brother of the man who imports foreign workers at half our living wages. Imagine the uproar on the religious television channels, and the hubbub in the news papers, which might read, “Uplifting Preacher Goes Negative: Parishioners Flee and Church Goes Broke!” How quickly his whore-hired sucklings would pull him aside and say, “What on earth are you doing?! I have children to feed and a mortgage!”

QUESTIONS FOR SO-CALLED SERVANTS OF GOD

So then perhaps if you think the humble little church pastor will fair any better than the opulent mega-church pastor, here are a few test questions you might put to them.

1. The doctrine I have heard is that if I only believe on the name of the Lord (John 3:16) then I will be saved from that moment on, but why then why does Matthew 7:23-24 describe believers given the name and even gifts of the spirit are rejected by Christ? [Mat 7:22 Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? Mat 7:23 And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity.] (Answer: no one knows until God himself tells him.)

2. Did you notice that God’s word says there are only two things you can do with *immediate* effect that ensures eternal destiny from that moment on: speaking words against the holy spirit, [Mat 12:32 whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the *world* to come.] and willfully sinning after receiving the knowledge of the truth [Heb 10:26 For if we sin wilfully after that we have received the knowledge of the truth, there remaineth no more sacrifice for sins.] (If the pastor says, No I did not know, tell him he needs to stop sinning; if he denies it tell him there is no escape from hell; and if he confirms it or adds more say, Well spoken Pastor, your knowledge in the scripture is impressive, but can you enter the kingdom associated with a Satan-approved church, which is any physical church requiring Satan’s money to exist?)

3. Do you serve God, and are you a servant of God? (If the answer is yes) Then can you tell me Pastor, what does Matthew 6:24 mean [Mat 6:24 No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon.], because don’t you know, donations or not unless you live on locusts and wild honey we one and all serve money, for the donations are not given without service, and if the service stops, so do the monies, and then are you not serving money which means you are not truly serving God? Are you sure you do not love money and despise God? (Is he calm and composed, or is he offended and defensive?) If he gives the usual answer that it means do not be greedy of gain or focus on money, ask if he saw an angel or did God tell him without doubt to start a church? If appropriate you might also say, Pastor, Mat 6:24 has nothing to do with greed or obsession with money, rather it is the understanding that service to God is not divided, neither requiring service to anyone else if one is truly a hire of God; and who could you tell was truly a hire of God? I say it is important to know whose payroll you are really on, because if it is God’s then are God’s servant beggars, continually preaching the tithe to sustain them (notice it is non-stop on the radio), and passing around the collection plate which is a beggar’s bowl? Have we not read that the church of Christ

requires all, and all in the brotherhood share all, according to each man's need? Who in your congregation holds back ninety percent of his substance, or does the way of the world trump the way of God that churches follow after the pattern of the world; perhaps not noticing Jesus also brought that all of his church belongs to all of the church? And if a man and his wife were required to give up their soul on the spot for holding back *half*, what are you teaching that they should hold back ninety percent? Are they not members of the church who enter therein?

4. As a teacher in the word of God surely you know the basics of the Ten Commandments, they stand fast forever and breaking even one is means being guilty of breaking all, and not a single soul continuing against the law on the day he stands before the judgment should enter the kingdom? Then if you understand the only commandment whereby is included a threat to cut off from the living, can you tell me exactly how we fulfill the commandment which surely must be fulfilled, to honor one's father and mother; *but* Jesus says unless you *hate* your father and mother like he did (Luke 14:26), you can in no wise be a disciple (and thus enter heaven), given it is *not* honor to honor someone you hate, lest you say, I honor thee, O person I hate? And if he cannot answer correctly (assuming naturally you are not a reprobate from whom this scripture is hid), say, Then if you do not understand even the Ten Commandments which is the whole of salvation *with* the blood of Jesus, I do not think you should be a teacher lest being blind you lead others into error with you.

Consider the great horror that *God* shall uncover in the last days, and the wonder when it is known the *Christian* clergy of Babylon were *not* her enemies. All of these presumptuous believers who spoke not against the careers of their parishioners, nor dared attack the very pillar of Satan's world empire, America, were *all* her compliant and complicit servants. If the pastor says to his listeners much good spiritual advice, but will *not* say: Cease from your sin lest you die, and your sin is surely serving the iniquity of Babylon, is he doing the work of saving souls, or is he ensuring his repeat donations? If a pastor or teacher of Christ shall write a great book praised by men, and his praised book of godly truth will *not* teach men that if they sweep the floor of the idol factory they are surely idolaters, and they had best be repenting, was his book yielding fruit for God, or was it fruit for Babylon and Satan? Is not his book of great godly understanding and excellent use of scripture a favorite of his whore mother, who especially loves those children who publish for sale for her, the approval by Christ's name of continuance in sin? The queen of Babylon will send customers flocking to buy his book, and the *New York Times* her servant will lift up his works for certification in her profitable seminary schools and Christian trend. Let me ask, Will a book about presumptuous and ignorant *fat* Christian pigs selling fruitless and damaging books make the *New York Times* best seller list? Shall Satan not see him coming from afar, and shall the whore of Babylon be unaware? even if a book for money which wisely taught the forfeiture of all pleasure which feeds Satan within them, would sell very well among those who think they live for God, but in fact live for their lives in the world and worship of their god Satan and his beast antichrist?

THE HIRES OF THE WHORE

Look what God has to say about the servants of Babylon America, [Mic 1:1 ...concerning Samaria and Jerusalem], [Micah 1:7 And all the graven images thereof shall be beaten to pieces, and all the hires thereof shall be burned with the fire, and all the idols thereof will I lay desolate: for she gathered *it* of the hire of an harlot, and they shall return to the hire of an harlot.] Look at the word Samaria: (S)amaria, ameria, america. Coincidence? Samaria is the ancient capital of Israel located to the north of Jerusalem, associated with Samaritans, a Semitic sect which had exclusively as its doctrine the first five books of Moses, but rejected any further writings of scripture as the word of God and were thus a rebellious sect. Sound familiar? Which kind of Jews tend to hold to the Old of God's word, but reject the New? The same ones who rejected the Psalms and Isaiah in the times of Samaria, and reject the gospel of Jesus Christ now, are the tares, the disobedient who *mingled* with the seed of the serpent, and who they? Are they not the Zionist Jews who own and run the cabal behind America and the Rothschild Zionist Israel of today? So when it says [Mic 1:5 What *is* the transgression of Jacob? *is it* not Samaria?] it is saying the transgression of Israel is America, and why? Because America is the state manifestation of the rebellious priests, the Jews who rebel to this day. America is the materialization, the justification, the deception by every supposedly good and righteous thing it started out as, of rebellion against the most high. When the Samaritan Jews transgressed the commandments was the open door for serpents which are Satan's seed to come into them, come into their daughters, their seed, and their children are a mix of Satan and weak hearted Jews. These half breed serpent seed Jews are motivated by inner knowledge they have but a short season along with their father Satan, and thus they explode their population in a desperate attempt to survive. Their princes created the Satan worshipping cults of the Freemasons and Illuminati to birth a nation based on the rebellious concept that freedom from all evil and tyranny means they must rebel against God's one and *only* form government, the hierarchy and supreme authority of one, the king. Democracy and the constitution of the United States are a direct rebellion against God who formed the

earth by saying that God's way, the way of the king, shall be abolished because it is evil and instead there will be three branches of government which check and balance each other. Satan has deceived the whole world into rejecting the very form of leadership which *alone* is approved by God, the supreme authority of a *king* over the land (which *does* translate into dictator), but through this deception secretly rules as a king himself! Only a half-baked trilateral council of endlessly arguing bureaucrats can be ruled by a *covert* king and used to beguile the people with complex laws and drawn out processes to mire events beyond expectation of immediate recognition and common sense solutions. Everyone who serves and worships king Satan of America (Babylon) knows the system is a ruse cover for their covert king until he can emerge as the religious savior of humanity, and are the most staunch defenders of the constitution and its total lie of liberty. I can tell you for sure this is *not* liberty, nor working toward a more perfect union. It is only better than the severe poverty and devastation of half-breed human/beast tare seeds of Satan not having enough human in them to maintain a civil society.

Further from a geographic perspective it is arguable the peoples of the Samarian region were a holdover of the earlier religion of Sumer (which language was Sumerian, rather similar sounding to Samarian), which Sumer is the source of the twelve God religion of Babylon, (i.e. Anu, Enki, Ishtar, Marduk, Gilgamesh, of Sumer, or their various translations into Greek myth, Zeus, Apollo, etc.). Therefore when it says "What *is* the transgression of Jacob? *is it* not Samaria?" it indicates the transgression of Israel is the idolatry of Babylon, the child of Sumer, the child of the angels that kept not their first estate (who became Da-Jin or giants, and Draconians or dragons/serpents/reptilians), and Satan being among them. One of the hallmark identifications of the end time Babylon in the prophesy of Jeremiah reads, [Jer 50:38 *it is* the land of graven images, and they are mad upon *their* idols.] If one considers the alternative to God requires turning to something else, then idolatry becomes the hallmark characteristic of a people against God, and what is the primary characteristic of America? Is it the pop idols and movie stars and their endless adoration of their images, the "mad upon *their* idols"?

If America and everyone joined to them are partakers of idolatry, it is arguable the cornerstone of iniquity for them is the idol, and the idols are the top of the food chain of iniquity. What is more or less guilty in idolatry? Shall they all not repenting be cast into hell? How then might we determine the good from the evil in the need to work and live, though this question is subdued in that we know the heart of a man guides his path, but the Lord guides his footsteps, therefore how can man know his own way? But I asked the question as it is also written, he who does not work should also not eat, and I was stymied what to do to where I asked if the doors to wages honest and not sin might be closed leading up to the last days.

Observe these scriptures which indicate working at the moment of redemption:

[Mat 24:31 And he shall send his angels with a great sound of a trumpet, and they shall gather together his elect from the four winds, from one end of heaven to the other.], [Mat 24:41 *Two women shall be grinding at the mill; the one shall be taken*, and the other left.], [1Thess 4:16 For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first: 1Thess 4:17 Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord.] I underline the "trump" to highlight these verses speak of the same moment, the rapture, but to demonstrate there will be people working on the day they are taken up by the Lord. This does not say ironclad they will be approved once they get there, but seems to indicate it. On the other hand "taken" is not specified as to exactly why, and it could be the woman left is approved, and the one taken up not, or neither approved, but more than likely one failed and one succeeded is the meaning.

[Mat 20:6 And about the eleventh hour he went out, and found others standing idle, and saith unto them, Why stand ye here all the day idle? Mat 20:7 They say unto him, Because no man hath hired us. He saith unto them, Go ye also into the vineyard; and whatsoever is right, *that* shall ye receive.] Jesus tells the story addressing the supposed unfairness to those elect who bore a longer toil in the heat of the day then those brought at the last hour yet receiving the same payment, and paid even before them. Notice those called at the last hour and therefore bearing the least burden and time in the work day (the patients of the saints), are yet called *first* and for the same payment! [Mat 20:8 So when even was come, the lord of the vineyard saith unto his steward, Call the labourers, and give them *their* hire, beginning from the last unto the first.] Does this mean the youngest of the elect are redeemed first, even entering the reward before those who waited on the streets unto gray beards? Jesus tells another story of a man calling to the wedding.

(Italicized in parentheses addressing underlined aspects of the verses.)

[Mat 22:1 And Jesus answered and spake unto them again by parables, and said,

Mat 22:2 The kingdom of heaven is like unto a certain king, which made a marriage for his son, (*the Lamb*)

Mat 22:3 And sent forth his servants to call them that were bidden to the wedding: and they would not come.

Mat 22:4 Again, he sent forth other servants, saying, Tell them which are bidden, (*Christians*) Behold, I have prepared my dinner: my oxen and *my fatlings are killed*, and all things *are ready*: come unto the marriage. (*the marriage feast of the Lamb in Revelation*)

Mat 22:5 But they made light of *it*, and went their ways, one to his farm, another to his merchandise: (*the farm - honest labor; merchandise - theft: it makes no difference. Also see [Mark 4:19 And the cares of this world, ...]*)

Mat 22:6 And the remnant took his servants, and entreated *them* spitefully, and slew *them*.

Mat 22:7 But when the king heard *thereof*, he was wroth: and he sent forth his armies, and destroyed those murderers, and burned up their city.

Mat 22:8 Then saith he to his servants, The wedding is ready, but they which were bidden were not worthy.

Mat 22:9 Go ye therefore into the highways, (*Mat 20:6 ...why stand ye here all the day idle?*) and as many as ye shall find, bid to the marriage.

Mat 22:10 So those servants went out into the highways, (*Mat 20:7 ...no man hath hired us*) and gathered together all as many as they found, (*those idle on the street were found*) both bad and good: (*even of this selection some worthy, some not*) and the wedding was furnished with guests. (*Also of "bad and good" is related: [Mat 25:33 And he shall set the sheep on his right hand, but the goats on the left.]*)

Mat 22:11 And when the king came in to see the guests, he saw there a man which had not on a wedding garment: (*Unworthy Christians do not perceive it is a wedding at all.*)

Mat 22:12 And he saith unto him, Friend, how camest thou in (*The place of the wedding, the holy city*) hither not having a wedding garment? And he was speechless.

Mat 22:13 Then said the king to the servants, Bind him hand and foot, and take him away, and cast him into outer darkness; there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth.

Mat 22:14 For many are called, but few are chosen.] (*"called" can only mean Christians, and "few" can only mean a portion of those given the opportunity to know the name Jesus Christ alone was salvation.*)

My point in showing Matthew 22:1-14 is to highlight the "highways" which standing outside or on the street as unemployed connects to the Mat 20:7 "no man hath hired us". Is this only a metaphor, or was Jesus telling of circumstances that would be in the end time? Could it be he foresaw toward the end of this age of "vipers" as he put it, and lovers of ourselves, that the worldly business of the wicked would be so evil and saturating of society it would result in meager employment opportunities for the upright? This might happen both because God protects his chosen by leading them away from soul-destroying sins, and also because they are hated and rejected by the world exactly because they are upright, as we see, [Pro 29:27 An unjust man *is* an abomination to the just: and *he that is* upright in the way *is* abomination to the wicked.] Furthermore we know in the end days leading up to the thousand year reign of Christ, and the end time destruction of Babylon, the finishing of the work of Satan to seal his mark in all that will serve him and worship his image will be in full effect, and the work of God to seal *his* name in the foreheads of *his* servants will also be finishing. Consider the enmity between a person who has the mark of the beast, and a person who has the name of God written upon him, and does it make sense they would work together in the same work? Perhaps so, as the two women grinding together might illustrate, but perhaps for some there could be no peace to the extent they would not last long in any place, or sensing who they are the business owners and their servants would pass them over for hire. The elect who have refrained themselves from the paths of the wicked one would also sense and be alerted to the wickedness of the merchants and even so-called charity organizations or other scams appealing to hypocrites, and thus not enter therein.

CHOOSE YOUR QUEEN: JERUSALEM OR BABYLON

Many Christians are aware of the *either-or* nature described of the world leading up into the end time: God or Satan, but do they know they also choose a queen: Jerusalem or Babylon? The contrast between these two queens is most clearly drawn in Proverbs where we read that wisdom is given to keep us from the *evil woman*, the *strange woman*. Observe these two verse sets: [Pro 2:6 For the LORD giveth wisdom:], [Pro 2:16 To deliver thee from the strange woman, *even* from the stranger *which* flattereth with her words:], [Pro 2:18 For her house inclineth unto death, and her paths unto the dead.] *and* [Pro 6:23 For the commandment *is* a lamp; and the law *is* light; and reproofs of instruction *are* the way of life:], [Pro 6:24 To keep thee from the evil woman, from the flattery of the tongue of a strange woman.]

The peripheral reading is a warning for men not to fall into adultery, which I believe it is, however as I looked more closely it appeared to me that "the strange woman" might be a *particular* woman: the queen of Babylon, or "daughter of Babylon". I say this because if this is a speaking to an actual woman, the daughter of

Babylon who is the “MOTHER OF HARLOTS”, shows up in Revelation as the end time primary feminine enemy of God and the saints. Therefore this general statement that God’s law is a light in the way to keep the living away from paths of evil, is actually saying there is a grand *feminine* spiritual leadership if you will, which is waiting for each man, and that leadership has embodiment. Is it really a sound scriptural conclusion, and a meaningful if not vital teaching in the word of God, to preach there is in fact an evil queen who is a flesh woman, not just a city, and her spirit and her ways are waiting for each man who puts not his trust in the Lord? Take a look at the following verse and see if you do not see an actual woman. [Isa 57:3 But draw near hither, ye sons of the sorceress, the seed of the adulterer and the whore.] Notice the singularity of “the sorceress”, and notice “the seed”, which it might otherwise say, *You children of harlots and sorcerers*. I would point out that although Revelations might appear to be *only* speaking of a metaphor of the city, as we see here, [Rev 17:18 And the woman which thou sawest is that great city, which reigneth over the kings of the earth.] and that the scarlet beast *is not* literal, which because one sees an unlikely animal with seven heads and ten horns we might safely assume does not exist in addition to the nations and their kings, I would point out there is nothing in scripture which eliminates the possibility there is indeed a personage to the woman riding the beast. Because the woman described in the vision arrayed in purple and scarlet, with a golden cup full of abominations is clearly metaphoric, it does not mean there is not *also* a person. We know that Satan is a spirit, a fallen angel, a beast, *and* he is also a man and a king that will appear. Is it impossible that the metaphoric woman is also a real woman?

Listen to what wisdom says about her:

[Pro 7:24 Hearken unto me now therefore, O ye children, and attend to the words of my mouth. Pro 7:25 Let not thine heart decline to her ways, go not astray in her paths. Pro 7:26 For she hath cast down many wounded: yea, many strong *men* have been slain by her. Pro 7:27 Her house is the way to hell, going down to the chambers of death.] As I have described my opinion that Proverbs 8 makes clear “wisdom” is beyond doubt a person, a female person, and God the Spirit (the mystery of his/her male/female dual appearance unknown to me), it makes sense that if she speaks of herself, saying “Hearken to me...”, then next describes another female person saying “go not astray in her paths”, the indication leads toward the existence of a female person who is the embodiment of evil and death, the opposite of wisdom who is life. But what is the relevance if true, of knowing there is a female person who is the queen of evil?

WISDOM IS JERUSALEM: WHAT ARE THE CHURCHES CALLED HER HOUSE?

The following verses connect the daughter of Jerusalem with the *person* of wisdom found in Proverbs 8: [Proverbs 9:1 Wisdom hath builded her house, she hath hewn out her seven pillars.], [Jer 15:5 For who shall have pity upon thee, O Jerusalem?], [Jer 15:9 She that hath borne seven languisheth:] There does not seem to be anything else “seven” that matches what wisdom is building besides the seven churches as we see here, [Rev 1:11 Saying, I am Alpha and Omega, the first and the last: and, What thou seest, write in a book, and send *it* unto the seven churches which are in Asia;] *and* [Rev 1:20 The mystery of the seven stars which thou sawest in my right hand, and the seven golden candlesticks. The seven stars are the angels of the seven churches; and the seven candlesticks which thou sawest are the seven churches.] In an attempt to understand what these seven churches are I focus on the gifts Jesus gives to them, underlined below and followed by guesses about what they are:

Ephesus - Rev 2:7 I give (1) to eat of the tree of life, which is in the midst of the paradise of God.
 Smyrna - Rev 2:10 I will give thee (2) a crown of life. Pergamos - Rev 2:17 give to eat of (3) the hidden manna, and will give him (4) a white stone, and in the stone a new name written, which no man knoweth saving he that receiveth *it*. Thyatira - Rev 2:26 will I give (5) power over the nations: Rev 2:27 And he shall (6) rule them with a rod of iron Rev 2:28 And I will give him (7) the morning star. Sardis - Rev 3:4 they shall (8) walk with me in white: for they are worthy. Rev 3:5 (9) clothed in white raiment; and I will (10) not blot out his name out of the book of life, but I will (11) confess his name before my Father, and before his angels. Philadelphia - Rev 3:12 will I (12) make a pillar in the temple of my God, and (13) he shall go no more out: and I will (14) write upon him the name of my God, and the name of the city of my God, which is new Jerusalem, which cometh down out of heaven from my God: and I will write upon him my new name. (Notice the order: *The Father, The City, The Son*, and notice these three names are written in the forehead of each successful Christian, Rev 7:3 till we have sealed the servants of our God in their foreheads.) Laodiceans - Rev 3:18 I counsel thee to buy of me (15) gold tried in the fire, that thou mayest be rich; and white raiment, that thou mayest be clothed, and *that* the shame of thy nakedness do not appear; and (16) anoint thine eyes with eyesalve, that thou mayest see.

I do not understand what these churches are but if wisdom is God, and she has hewn out these seven churches, given *birth* to them, and they are called a *house*, it may be related to the entirety of the work of God as

found in the so-called seven thousand year plan of God (or the *foundation*). Briefly this plan is derived from the adding up of the years in the genealogy of Adam to Jesus as being four thousand years, therefore from Jesus until now being two thousand years more would make six thousand years (if 2000AD is the end time) then add a thousand years as the prophesied one thousand year reign of Christ (Rev 20:4) you get *seven* thousand years total. These seven churches therefore might have something to do with the building of the kingdom of God and the work that was required of it. Looking at these gifts as being given to the elect, the saved remnant of Israel, and keeping in mind the connection of wisdom/Jerusalem to the building of these churches and the gifts from Jesus, I examine those gifts.

(1) to eat of the tree of life - One must enter into the gates of New Jerusalem to eat from the tree of life, and drink the fountain of water of life, in order to maintain the eternal life. [Rev 21:6 fountain of the water of life freely.], [Rev 22:14 Blessed *are* they that do his commandments, that they may have right to the tree of life, and may enter in through the gates into the city.]

(2) a crown of life - The crown denotes the authority of kingship, divine right of rule, therefore this might mean the authority of divine rule for the elect of God, the sons and daughters given to be kings and queens of domains or lands.

(3) the hidden manna - This might refer to the hidden message of scripture, such as man shall live by every word that proceeds of the mouth of God, therefore this manna, the bread of heaven, is like food to men able to receive these hidden truths. Then it might be *by this church* those that overcome are given also to interpret key sayings of scripture which by consuming they do not starve for lack of truth.

(4) a white stone, and in the stone a new name written – “white” is used in scripture to denote righteousness and purity (Rev 19:8), and “stone” is used to denote a man’s seed, [Deu 23:1 the stones], therefore the name might be a righteous and pure lineage (DNA/seed) along with his new name given to him for his eternal life in heaven, which he need not fear the corruption and sorrow of the past.

(5) power over the nations - Here clearly this means authority and what must be behind it, force of power or military force as we might understand it, without which none have authority. If these are things given to each man then it might infer each man is given his own kingdom, or each son of Jacob is a king of a nation.

(6) rule them with a rod of iron - Why is God saying “rod of iron”? What does this have to do with the leadership of the kings and priests of God over the nations? It appears the spirit is a woman, and she is the angel of the Lord sent out to battle the enemies of Israel. This might be the pattern for kings who will also have their angels as military power. Could it the rod of iron means manhood, and the angels of kings their wives?

(7) the morning star - correlates [Rev 22:16 I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things in the churches. I am the root and the offspring of David, and the bright and morning star.] This scripture seems to be saying that he, Jesus, *is* the morning star, so does it make sense to say he is giving *himself* as the reward to this church and the elect? Notice Jesus is saying he is: the root of David [Joh 8:58 Before Abraham was, I am.], the offspring of David, (born to Joseph and Mary), and the bright *and* morning star. The two things Jesus says he is made up of are themselves made up two things each: 1. root and offspring, and 2. bright and morning. A possible connection by order is (root=bright, offspring=morning) what connection? The “morning” in “morning star” indicates angel as we see here [Isa 14:12 How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning!] Satanael *was* an angel, therefore “morning” *might* mean angel, and [Rev 9:1 And the fifth angel sounded, and I saw a star fall from heaven unto the earth: and to him was given the key of the bottomless pit.] for which “star” appears to be code for angel. Therefore Jesus is saying he *is* an angel. By this I mean as God is known as three persons, Father, Son, and Spirit, the Son is made up of two persons: Jesus and his angel. This fourth person then would also be God, which makes sense from the standpoint Jesus said he was from the undivided, and the Spirit, the flame in the bush, the captain of the angelic host, is also called an angel, as in the angel of His presence. As the Father has an angel that *is* Him, so then might the Son, the king of kings. If Jesus says [Rev 22:16 I Jesus have sent mine angel] does he mean the Spirit, or a person besides this? I say this because it seems to me the same as I concluded the Holy Spirit, clearly both seen as and called a man (he, male) is in fact appearing as such for the sake of the world, but is the same person described in Proverbs 8, clearly a female person, clearly God, clearly the Holy Spirit, and clearly the mother of Jesus (Thomas 101; Luke 14:26), so then also Jesus is two persons: Jesus and the little sister [Song 8:8 We have a little sister]. Therefore when Jesus says [Rev 22:16 I am the root and the offspring of David, and the bright and morning star.] he is saying I am the lion and the angel, the male and the female. It makes sense, the Father has his woman as his angel, so the Son has his woman as his angel.

(8) walk with me in white - The “walk with me” is a sacred privilege which now is difficult to understand, but it certainly means the path that we walk will be in the same direction as the Lord. It means our lives will be with *this*

man the Lord of the whole earth, for he says his dwelling is among men. When you walk with someone it means you are with them, you share the same path, and the “white” signifies purity, without sin or fault.

(9) clothed in white raiment - Does this mean the actual color of the clothes the priest and kings of God wear?

(10) not blot out his name out of the book of life - This seems to be the guarantee against failure once one has obtained the gifts to the churches. This matches with what God says to Jerusalem [Isa 54:9 so have I sworn that I would not be wroth with thee, nor rebuke thee.] God finds it important to include in his promise to the successful Christian, that once you have been received into the kingdom there will not be a fear looming over one’s head they might fail or forget something, and thus be on the wrong end of God’s wrath again. This can only mean that people will have a different mind, spirit, and body, which does not fail in the required things of God.

(11) confess his name before my Father, and before his angels - Why confess? It seems there will be an indoctrinating ceremony where the prince will read the name of the successful person to the king and his angels, or soldiers perhaps if angels are understood that way.

(12) make a pillar in the temple of my God - The purpose here indicates the elect who overcome the world. The “pillar” means irreplaceable, and the temple indicates the work of the priesthood. The Jews are the priests, that is servants ministering to the king and the servants in charge of the necessary bridge between God and creature. So the message of God to his people the Jews is, if you overcome the world I will make you an irreplaceable element in the function of the priesthood.

(13) he shall go no more out - This might mean the assurance once admitted into the family he will not be sent away out into the wilderness or other far away place, but will reside continually in the holy city.

(14) write upon him the name of my God, and the name of the city of my God, which is new Jerusalem, which cometh down out of heaven from my God: and I will write upon him my new name. (Notice the order: *The Father, The City, The Son*, as Jehovah, New Jerusalem, and Jesus Christ, and notice these three names are written in the forehead of each Christian who overcomes, which you observe *where* this is written from, [Rev 7:3 till we have sealed the servants of our God in their foreheads.]) This is truly interesting. What is God doing here with this, that his people / servants / children: each have three names written in their foreheads? This might seem an abstract science fiction concept, but we are given to know the word of God is true, and therefore what this means is, we will have a substantial amount of text written on our foreheads! As I concluded in *Babylon Is Taken!* it will not be *visible* text, but spiritual, perhaps in a different dimension or phase which can only be seen under certain conditions, but notice there appears to be a labeling purpose to this. Will the children of God be able to see it in each other? Perhaps this is connected to the confessing of the successful before the angels, which when they see the labeling in their forehead will treat them accordingly. Could it be actually we have *four* names written in our forehead which includes the name on the white stone, our new name given for our lives in heaven?

(15) gold tried in the fire, that thou mayest be rich - Notice he says before this, “I counsel thee to buy of me”. In reality a person cannot pay God for something which is already his, yet this statement denotes an exchange of value. This is Jesus talking, and he is saying to *buy* something from him. Why not a *command*, instead of “counsel”? The “tried in fire” has a resemblance to [1Pe 1:7 That the trial of your faith, being much more precious than of gold that perisheth, though it be tried with fire, might be found unto praise and honour and glory at the appearing of Jesus Christ:] The trial of your faith is referred to in the previous verse as “manifold temptations”, which appears to be the work of overcoming the world. But in 1Peter 1:7 the gold is perishable, so how might it relate to the gold Jesus counsels us to buy from him? The meaning might be as far as value exchange, the denying of ourselves and our worldly desires, the [1Pe 1:14 former lusts], to sell everything we have, distribute to the poor, and take up our cross to follow the Lord. Perhaps he *counsels* because it is a choice we must make on our own, which I found no one could decide for another, but the Lord places the footsteps according to our hearts and his timing (the plan of God). The gold here that makes rich therefore is the soul tried in the fire of affliction, and the richness is the life, the reward of [1Pe 1:4 an inheritance incorruptible, and undefiled, and that fadeth not away,]. I have thought that the richness is to be together with true friends and family.

(16) anoint thine eyes with eyesalve, that thou mayest see - The “anoint” is consecrate, which meaning is to induct into a permanent office with a religious rite; but also to make or declare sacred. Jesus taught that the eyes are the light of the body [Mat 6:22 The light of the body is the eye: if therefore thine eye be single, thy whole body shall be full of light.] Therefore this gift might be the gift of light for the eye which banishes darkness from our souls, such as we experience here every day.

In conclusion we might find that the churches represent the foundation of the world and the gifts of God through his chosen. The *house* belonging to wisdom who is also Jerusalem above, is the ministry of the priests of God, the Jews. We know there are twelve tribes of Israel, and seven churches. Then if she has *borne seven* it might mean the seven churches are divided into the twelve tribes. These who are of her house then are Christians covered

by the blood of Christ, [Pro 31:21 She is not afraid of the snow for her household: for all her household *are* clothed with scarlet.], which “clothed with scarlet” surely means covered by the redemption of Christ’s blood. Therefore the work of building the seven churches and thus the kingdom is that of the Holy Spirit, our mother. The “hewn” might refer to the process of carving stone whereby the workman chips away at the block to remove all unwanted qualities, but notice also we are told she has “borne” them, as a mother carries a child, therefore she is mother of the seven churches. The numbered sixteen gifts of God listed above might represent the totality of the work of God’s Holy Spirit in the seven churches, which work is the perfection of holy nation of Israel. Looking at the gifts as a whole they appear to all be about sanctification into the kingdom for those who overcome, whereby the churches and these gifts from God offer the assurance, the certification, and the things of the life of heaven God has prepared for those who choose him. As I read it, God is teaching us that he is also a her, that is his person as God is both the man Christ and New Jerusalem, or Sarah, which are our father and mother and God’s life among men. The son Christ then is the manifestation of the Father, and the daughter and Christ’s Eve the manifestation of the Spirit. These are one, that is God among men, the male and the female, and they through the completion of the work of the churches separate out the wheat from the tares, and the sheep from the goats, and for the wheat and sheep the gifts of sanctification come through the churches wisdom builds from the birth of the people until their graduation into the great promised life. As is written the reckoning that the suffering of this life is not comparable to the reward thereafter seems right to me, though I would say in totality the cost of the world was high above imagining.

MY SON, GIVE ME THINE HEART: *Proverbs 23:26*

In this verse we learn who is speaking, our mother wisdom. [Pro 9:1 Wisdom hath builded her house, she hath hewn out her seven pillars:] tells us who this is. This next verse, because it is the last indication of the who, wisdom, and no other indication of the father, the son or anyone else speaking but her until Proverbs 26, we can determine it is still wisdom speaking. [Pro 9:3 She hath sent forth her maidens: she crieth upon the highest places of the city, Pro 9:11 For by me thy days shall be multiplied, and the years of thy life shall be increased.]

[Pro 23:15 My son, if thine heart be wise, my heart shall rejoice, even mine.] The “heart” belongs to wisdom (who is Jerusalem above, [Gal 4:26 But Jerusalem which is above is free, which is the mother of us all.]) Notice the “rejoice” matches [Pro 23:25 Thy father and thy mother shall be glad, and she that bare thee shall rejoice.]

[Pro 23:16 Yea, my reins shall rejoice, when thy lips speak right things.] Is our mother God saying to us here, that whatever it is that controls us has consciousness, perhaps angels, and these rejoice when we speak right things?

[Pro 23:17 Let not thine heart envy sinners: but *be thou* in the fear of the LORD all the day long.] This seems to be saying, be in the instruction of the Lord, because what is fear? [Pro 15:33 The fear of the LORD *is* the instruction of wisdom;] But what does it mean to be *in* the instruction of wisdom? It means as a sinner to receive the rebuke of wisdom, that is realize what the pain is, recognize God’s hand upon you, and turn from the way which you have lived, as this verse teaches [Pro 6:23 For the commandment *is* a lamp; and the law *is* light; and reproofs of instruction *are* the way of life:] The being *in* reproofs means to look for them, respond to them, and be thankful to her for them. We may not recognize them, but if we realize as sinners this key of the straight and narrow path, we should be looking for the next rebuke, the emotional pain, the physical pain, and not first assume it is the sin of others, or Satan hindering, or happenstance, but rather say, *What sin of mine?*

[Pro 23:18 For surely there is an end; and thine expectation shall not be cut off.] The end is an end to the sorrow, and the expectation is of life eternal with joy on our heads. [Pro 23:19 Hear thou, my son, and be wise, and guide thine heart in the way.] Guide means to lead, to point in the direction of, therefore this means a conscious pointing of oneself away from things which separate from God. [Pro 23:20 Be not among winebibbers; among riotous eaters of flesh:] One might first think it is addressing gluttony and alcohol use in particular, and it is, but the broader meaning is that these things of destruction are indicative of the love of the world. The “Be not among” is the separation from those not recognizing the world as a corpse. As long as you retain presence with those who are yet in the world you cannot give your heart to our mother wisdom, because the love of the world is in opposition to the love of God. As long as we love worldly things, even while hoping in Christ and knowing better things are to come, we cannot see, neither is the love of God in us, for our eye is divided between the love of our flesh and its life in this world, and the love of the unseen things of God. In fact while we love the things of the world we prefer those things to God, while denying that is the case. Therefore even while believing we dwell in darkness because as each Christain overcomeing I think will find, as soon as we involve ourselves with worldly desires and pleasures, and worldly hope and inspiration, it is in fact inviting the spirit of Satan in (vampires must be invited in), which spirit of Satan is all the world has to offer. Satan deliberately hides that which is evil inside and with the cover of that which is good of human desire. The human desire in the world itself *could* be good, even in the appearance we

are now, but our nature turns those things into rottenness as we enter the spirit of our treasure being in the world. Then once you are addicted to that pleasure and blinded by it, Satan can reveal the ugliness and evil knowing you will be a staunch defender of it.

[Pro 23:21 For the drunkard and the glutton shall come to poverty: and drowsiness shall clothe *a man* with rags.],[Pro 23:22 Harken unto thy father that begat thee, and despise not thy mother when she is old.] Only the earthly “mother” grows old, but I felt these meanings are too deep to address only such an earthly issue as not despising one’s mother. If you take the last part away from the two persons this addresses, your father and mother, you get, Obey your father, and despise not your mother; which may refer to the heavenly. This might say, The order comes from God, do not despise your mother the actual hand of the chastening; because we might hate the person of the spirit we have more direct contact with, and she is associated with the punishment. The spirit is the disciplinarian, the hand of the angel which corrects us, therefore we rebellious children with hardened hearts have fury against her, our persecutor both within us and the external living presence of the angel and her manifold arms of power. As I stumble in my affliction, and balk at the hindrance she lays in my way, I might also recognize her character in some higher perception, even her face, and so I have hated instruction, the *person* associated with the continual reproofs. As I have viewed it the things of death and all non-eternal type desires are carved away from us, *then* looking back we might be grateful, whereas now we might grind our teeth.

[Pro 23:23 Buy the truth, and sell *it* not; *also* wisdom, and instruction, and understanding.] Why does God here say the truth is something which can be bought? Obviously not with money, but rather a thing of value by which the truth is bought might be sacrifice of the things of the world that *seem* to make for happy living. *Corresponds to:* [Thomas 27 Jesus said, If you do not fast as regards the world, you will not find the kingdom], and [Psalms 51:17 The sacrifices of God *are* a broken spirit: a broken and a contrite heart, O God, thou wilt not despise.], [Pro 23:24 The father of the righteous shall greatly rejoice: and he that begetteth a wise *child* shall have joy of him.]

[Pro 23:25 Thy father and thy mother shall be glad, and she that bare thee shall rejoice.] This is the key verse which I believe points to the identity speaking as our mother Jerusalem above, because first you have “thy father and thy mother”, then separately you have “she that bare thee”. The “she that bare thee” appears to be our mother in heaven, because the voice that speaks to us directly starting in 23:15 appears to be wisdom, the Spirit. [Pro 23:26 My son, give me thine heart, and let thine eyes observe my ways.] Is this not our mother wisdom, asking us to give her our heart: she who bare us, raised us, corrected us, taught us in the way of life, and as any mother she is passionate about her children. She wants us to give our heart to her because she wants her sons and daughters to know her, and have her within them, wisdom, and knowledge, that they might go in the way of life, and not in the way of death. Notice she tells us to let our eyes observe her ways. If this means our physical eyes (as oppose to spiritual), does this allude to her physical appearing? How else do we observe her ways with our eyes if this means *now* when she cannot be seen by us, or if we *do* observe things done by her but we cannot be certain it was her? Perhaps this means, we by spiritual discernment observe her ways daily and in world events.

God the Spirit wants us to give our hearts to her, our mother who bears us at her sides, because guess who becomes our default mother when we will reject heavenly Jerusalem?

OR GIVE YOUR HEART TO THE STRANGE WOMAN

[Pro 23:27 For a whore *is* a deep ditch; and a strange woman *is* a narrow pit.] Is the strange woman our default *female* mother spirit (Satan being the default male spirit), when we do not give our hearts to wisdom? When we reject wisdom, which is to *not* give our heart to the righteous Spirit of God, what you get is the MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS, the woman whose house is the way to hell. [Pro 23:28 She also lieth in wait as *for* a prey, and increaseth the transgressors among men.] Notice “in wait as *for* pray” matches [1Peter 5:8 Be sober, be vigilant; because your adversary the devil, as a roaring lion, walketh about, seeking whom he may devour:] Pro 23:28 confirms this is more than whores in general God is warning us of. Who else but the MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS lies in wait to increase the transgressors among men? Notice it says “she” rather than *they*. This is the same woman that flatters with her lips, and who catches the young man devoid of understanding and slays him in bed with her whoredom. He falls into her pit and is lured by seduction to ruin his soul by every pleasure apart from God: fornication, drunkenness, and gluttony, which he turns the more to drown the pain of his soul darkened with her sins. The spirit of the mother of whores rests in the hearts of the daughters of Satan and foolish children who follow after her ways: to trust in riches, to be one’s own master by riches, and to say, “I am no widow” because there is no man, nor a father, nor husband, to lord over her. The virgins will choose to be poor in their widowhood, lest being lifted up by their riches the fatherless women say, “I am no widow” and thus turn to whoredom.

It seems to me the reason women are designed to live under a master, (a father, lord, husband) is exemplified by the example of Lilith, the first woman created to be the wife of Adam, who, demanding equality departed him and turned into a baby eating monster. Notice this matches how Satanael was the most beautiful and talented of the angels, and called a son of God, but he became a beast, a serpent, as a result of rebelling against the commandment of God to worship Adam. [Rev 20:2 And he laid hold on the dragon, that old serpent, which is the Devil, and Satan, and bound him a thousand years,] Now we know the seed of Satan the serpent is real and living among us, actual reptilian beings with advanced shapeshifting technology, but they are beasts as opposed to men, also devouring humans, even children and babies which get sacrificed at satanic rituals. Think on the fear of departing righteousness before the Lord, that such are turned into real and hideous monsters, which I have proof exist (see YouTube: The Source of Vampires), and that they are intelligent bipedal giant reptilian beings with claws, fangs, and slit eyes (also reptoids, Illuminati human/reptilian hybrid).

The mother of whores, the daughter of Babylon, the serpent woman, represents all the wrong ways for women which defiles them. When a woman is not humbled by the knowledge that God has made women to be a helper to her man, as Eve was made to be a helper to Adam, the idea in her mind becomes that men and women are equal, even equal according to God, and have all the same rights and privileges, and deserving a man's respect in the world. The young girl devoid of wisdom thinks in herself, I shall be my own master, then meet the man of my dreams as equals because I am able to stand on my own, and dictate policy with an equal vote in the union, but there is only one kind of man that shall abide under these conditions, and it is the man she hates and disrespects in her heart, the wimp, the man who is no king, neither lord of his house, his wife, nor his children who despise him for that same reason. When you know God by obedience to his word you do not miss neither rebel foolishly against what he says about the way of the man, and there is no glory for women to be their own master, or in expectation of the glory equal to manhood. What does God say about that woman who is highest among women, even the queen? God tells us that even she, the daughter of Jerusalem who says, [Isa 52:6 Therefore my people shall know my name: therefore *they shall know* in that day that I *am* he that doth speak: behold, *it is I*.] He says, [Isa 51:18 *There is none to guide her among all the sons whom she hath brought forth; neither is there any that taketh her by the hand of all the sons that she hath brought up.*] If the most high woman of the kingdom is such that even her own male children should be her guide, rather than she be her own guide, it surely means the glory of womanhood means having a man, be it a father, husband, or even a son set over her, that she be not her own guide. The duty of womanhood is the understanding of the duty of manhood, that no man should think himself separate from the responsibility to his mother, and sisters, and daughters, and in this understanding *her* duty is to give him what he wants for this, which is what? His glory of manhood which is lordship, that is authority over female persons in his presence. The heart of the woman found acceptable to God will in that moment have in her heart to honor the man before her, be ready to sacrifice, to prepare, that she might for the glory God play her role as honoring him. The wise woman will have the thought enter her mind to prepare what words, what scene, even for herself, knowing her glory lies therein, to show deference to what God has given as the glory for every man. So men if you wonder why a woman prepares a scene, a strange scene, an enactment, an awkward enactment, the writing of a small play right then, that she might by a story, and setting up of a scene, tell of her need, her rescuer, and leader for that moment. What woman should have less glory, who works harder, does more, puts more thought into, making a scene for her man and *any* man she happens upon? Therefore men understand, that scene, that awkward scene women might make, is the glory God has prepared for men. Therefore they best not mock that scene, a woman's shame-faced scene, lest someone stop by for a little chat.

THE MOTHER ASPECT OF JERUSALEM AND THE OPENING SALVO OF REDEMPTION

We read in [Isa 54:4 (Jerusalem) shalt not remember the reproach of thy widowhood any more.], which is perplexing if also she is a virgin [Isa 37:22 The virgin, the daughter of Zion, hath despised thee, *and* laughed thee to scorn; the daughter of Jerusalem hath shaken her head at thee.] (Zion is defined in Webster's as **1 a** the Jewish people; Etymology: citadel in Palistine which was the nucleus of Jerusalem; and citadel is defined as **1 : a** fortress that commands a city; **2 : STRONGHOLD**). As described previously the daughter of Zion is also the daughter of Jerusalem, which I found "daughter" means *simultaneously* the Jewish people, the physical city, and the person of the queen (human wife of the Lamb). In scripture she is described as a widow, forsaken and grieved, whom God has hidden his face from. She says, [Isa 49:14 But Zion said, The LORD hath forsaken me] *and* [Isa 54:7 For a small moment have I forsaken thee]. Now notice this further meaning of sin, punishment, and motherhood of the future queen (Psalm 45), [Isa 50:1 Thus saith the LORD, Where *is* the bill of your mother's divorcement, whom I have put away? or which of my creditors *is it* to whom I have sold you? Behold, for your iniquities have ye sold yourselves, and for your transgressions is your mother put away.] Why does God say, "Where is the bill of

divorce”? He appears to be saying he has *not* divorced her, rather for sin their mother Jerusalem is put away, shut up in prison, Babylon. God is hiding his face from her, afflicting her, and coming against her as an enemy for so long she cries out what the Lamb cries out, [Mat 27:46 My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?].

What stands out is the image of a woman, a mother, being put away. What is God teaching in his word that the Jewish people have a mother? One cannot escape the fact God is telling us the redeemed of Israel have a mother, *and* he is saying she is a people (perhaps indwelling spirit of the people), a physical city, and the *human* wife of the Lamb. Ask the question: what besides the connectivity of lineage is the purpose God tells us Abraham is the father of the Jews, and Sarah is the mother, as we read here: [Isa 51:2 Look unto Abraham your father, and unto Sarah that bare you] Notice God is telling us to “look to them”, but did not Jesus say [Joh 8:58 Before Abraham was, I am]? And does not Proverbs 4 tell us Jesus is called the father? [Pro 4:1 Hear, ye children, the instruction of a father, and attend to know understanding. Pro 4:2 For I give you good doctrine, forsake ye not my law. Pro 4:3 For I was my father's son, tender and only beloved in the sight of my mother. Pro 4:4 He taught me also, and said unto me, Let thine heart retain my words: keep my commandments, and live.] Proverbs 1-4 is the voice of Jesus the Son, and he is saying listen to *his* instruction which is of a father, to *us* who are called children. No one but the *Father* God or the *Son* can say, “keep my commandments”, lest they make themselves God to say the ten commandments belong to them or that the law comes from them. Therefore we learn Jesus the Lamb is as a *father* to us, and also clearly he had a mother, which *person* is also called wisdom, understanding, instruction, and she existed before the earth was made, and was a person with emotions such as joy, as described [Pro 8:30 I was daily *his* delight, rejoicing always before him].

Observe this verse where I theorize a reference to womanhood *in addition* to city and people: [Isa 51:18 There is none to guide her among all the sons whom she hath brought forth; neither is there any that taketh her by the hand of all the sons that she hath brought up.] I found the explanation of scholars explaining this feminine reference lacking a sense-making instruction. They cite a vague analogy of cities not breached being virgins, or explain it away by language parameters such as Hebrew not having a word for “it” (only he/she, male and female), but if you take a passage like Isaiah 51:18 combined with the totality of scripture regarding Jerusalem, most notably in Lamentations, the indication of a female personage seems likely. For instance if you take that scripture and superimpose “the city” in place of her, she, or Jerusalem, it makes less sense as the following superimposing demonstrates.

[Isa 51:18 *There is none to guide (the city) among all the sons whom (the city) hath brought forth; neither is there any that taketh (the city) by the hand of all the sons that (the city) hath brought up.*]

It *could* mean just that, the city, and not (a person *and* a city *and* people) as I suggest, but for example, is it entirely fitting to say a physical city gives birth to and rears sons? Perhaps, and the meaning is only that the city in a sense gives birth to and produces sons, and there is none among these to lead the city. A question then might be: What is the teaching by analogy for the woman-specific references of giving birth and raising children? It might be that people have a better understanding using human experience with women, child bearing, and child rearing, therefore God is teaching us with this analogy so we might better understand the holy city; and it is the people and land *only* being instructed of here, but there are other mysteries of personal description of Jerusalem, most famously the dual showing of Jerusalem in the vision of Revelation where the wife of the lamb is the actual city descending from heaven, but is also described dressed in fine linen clean and white, which is the washed clean attribute of God’s people, but the city itself with streets of clear gold is *not* dressed in white linen. Therefore two things are being spoken of, regardless of opinion what those things are. In addition the personal-sounding expressions in Lamentations lend argument Jerusalem is a person because of things not matching either a group of people or a physical city, such as [Lam 1:13 he sent fire into my bones], [Lam 1:14 upon my neck], [Lam 1:16 For these *things* I weep; mine eye, mine eye runneth down with water], [Lam 1:20 I *am* in distress: my bowels are troubled; mine heart is turned within me], which things are the city Jerusalem speaking. *If* God is *not* teaching us Jerusalem is also a person, my genuine question is: What is “my bones”, and “my neck”? What of the city is “mine eye” and how does it “runneth down with water”? Why would a city itself, or a people that is plural say, “I *am*” and what are “my bowels”, and “mine heart” teaching, if this is not also a person who has these features, and these emotions?

How is this personal reference not related to wisdom of Proverbs, the female person who is clearly God, because only God and not angels or people or anyone else can properly be called upon in prayer, but only God? [Pro 1:20 Wisdom crieth without; she uttereth her voice in the streets:], [Pro 1:23 Turn you at my reproof: behold, I will pour out my spirit unto you, I will make known my words unto you.], [Pro 1:28 Then shall they call upon me] Summation: WISDOM, SHE, HER, MY REPROOF, POUR OUT MY SPIRIT, MY WORDS, CALL UPON ME. Therefore I say, there is either a fourth person of God named wisdom, or, the Holy Spirit appears as both male

and female. I would say the latter, and because of the apparent omnipresence of God, and the near-death witnesses claiming to see Jesus, meaning because that many people die every day at the same time, he appears to people simultaneously, therefore we might think the Holy Ghost appears also in heaven, not just simultaneously, but as male and female characters. Despite time being no longer is beyond most human comprehension, if there is a then and now it might be that post Proverbs the Spirit appears as male, but I concede this is vast speculation, but do not concede it is an unworthy question.

How can God make man in his image, if his image is not also female? If you say Adam was the complete image of God and Eve was an afterthought *not* of the original plan, then was Adam's manhood only for urinating, and what of his stones? If God added Adam's manhood after to accommodate a union with Eve, this later addition is rather an important detail to leave out, and such does *not* make sense given the inference to a singular event of man's creation as outlined by [Gen 5:1 In the day that God created man, in the likeness of God made he him; Gen 5:2 Male and female created he them; and blessed them, and called their name Adam, in the day when they were created.]. Notice this means Adam is a two part being, male and female, so that *both* the man and woman are called "man" and "Adam". First you have "made he *him*", then you have "created he *them*", making sure the knowledge Adam *is* a man and woman, and the man and woman *are* the image of God. The verse reads "their name Adam" and "they were created", *not* "*his* name Adam", or "*he* was created". Therefore it is correct to say the image and likeness of God *is* that of a woman. It is not blasphemy nor unscriptural to conclude: God is a woman, as I have contended the *whole* of Psalm 45 concerns the queen, who is therein called [Psa 45:6 Thy throne, O God, *is* for ever and ever: the sceptre of thy kingdom *is* a right sceptre.], and even a woman is called "The LORD" as we see clearly the two references to the male and female person of God to be in the earth [Jer 23:6 In his days Judah shall be saved, and Israel shall dwell safely: and this *is* his name whereby he shall be called, THE LORD OUR RIGHTEOUSNESS.](*male*) and [Jer 33:16 In those days shall Judah be saved, and Jerusalem shall dwell safely: and this *is the name* wherewith she shall be called, The LORD our righteousness.](*female*) These two I believe refer to what Jesus says of himself in [Rev 1:11 Saying, I am Alpha and Omega, the first and the last.; Rev 1:17 Fear not; I am the first and the last.; Rev 2:8 These things saith the first and the last, which was dead, and is alive;., Rev 22:13 I am Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the end, the first and the last.]

Consider the relationship between these three scriptures as it regards the difference in living conditions between the man and the woman, and notice the *forever* nature of them. [Genesis 2:24 Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife: and they shall be one flesh.], [Exodus 21:7 And if a man sell his daughter to be a maidservant, she shall not go out as the menservants do.], [Job 1:4 And his sons went and feasted in their houses, every one his day; and sent and called for their three sisters to eat and to drink with them.] In Genesis 2:24 we read Adam speaking here, and clearly he has knowledge of a father and mother, though he was formed from the dust of the earth, and further he has knowledge to make a statement regarding the future proper roles of men and women as God has defined them. We do not know *how* Adam came into this knowledge, but for him to make such a statement regarding men and women, parentage, and children, which he has not experienced himself (meaning he was not a parent or had children of his own yet), it would seem God had instructed him in the pattern he set forth for man. If you agree Adam did not happen to just blurt out some guess about the man leaving his parents but the woman not, and recognize the instructive nature of these words, it means this way of men and women was designed specifically by God before flesh ever came into being, and further that it is the *way* of that flesh forever in the tabernacle he made for himself, man. Can we determine from God's word why it is the man shall leave his parents to marry his woman, but a woman shall *not* leave her parents to marry? Because God knew there would be situations where it would be best for the woman to leave her parents to join her man in *his* house, and this not being out of order, we might consider it is a broader concept concerning the difference in the way of men and women. For the man, he is in marriage leaving the family unit of his father and mother to join his wife, presumably to branch out to his own house where they shall live. Historically and traditionally the man has been the primary provider, therefore this step in maturity might be the defining difference in the glory of manhood, that when he obtains a wife of the Lord he must also take the mantle of provider or he must then become the son-in-law of his wife's parents, arguably less attractive of the glory of manhood. What God *doesn't* say says something. God could have said in Gen 2:24, "Therefore shall the man and woman leave their parents to become one flesh". He does *not* say, "The wife shall *not* leave her father and mother when she marries", neither implied in the verse, thus implying by omission the woman *can* leave her parents to live with her husband, but I suggest the inference is that women are not required to do so, but Why? is the important question. Perhaps a woman's glory is not diminished by subservience or not being the top of the household.

[Exodus 21:7 And if a man sell his daughter to be a maidservant, she shall not go out as the menservants do.] If we know certain statutes such as those regarding slavery *may* not exist in the future, what is this verse teaching? Why does a father sell his daughter to be a maidservant, and why when he does so does she not go out to

serve whomever he has sold her to, but the statute is, she shall not go out as the menservants? Can we assume then that “menservants” might also be the sons of the man in this verse? Then we might read it as “If a man sell his son to be a manservant, then he shall go out, but if a man sell his daughter to be a maidservant she shall *not* go out from his house. It does not make sense to me why if a man sells his daughter, presumably for a price of money or goods, and presumably to another man, would the buyer not be able to take the maidservant into his house which he just bought. Therefore these verses might mean something else entirely, but what?

There is still a parent in this equation, a father who has sold his own daughter, yet she does not go out as the menservants. There is a more complex element regarding betrothal and redemption, but if one observes the initial part of the verse “she shall not go out as the menservants”, the idea seems to be a feminine-specific association for women and staying at home. There is the correlation [Psa 113:9 He maketh the barren woman to keep house, *and to be* a joyful mother of children. Praise ye the LORD.] The “barren woman” in this verse has relevance to the dawn of eternal lives into the kingdom on earth, as we read [Isa 54:1 Sing, O barren, thou *that didst not bear*; break forth into singing, and cry aloud, thou *that didst not travail with child*: for more are the children of the desolate than the children of the married wife, saith the LORD.] The “*more are* the children of the desolate” points to those women having overcome, that is successfully made it into the kingdom. The comparison is with those women of the world who lived up until now, because they could bear children, but the imprisoned remnant, that is the redeemed of the Lord, could not for we know what? [Rev 14:3 the hundred *and* forty *and* four thousand, which were redeemed from the earth. Rev 14:4 These are they which were not defiled with women; for they are virgins.] Therefore we know of the elect, the redeemed, the successful of the women of the kingdom of God, were barren. Therefore God says this in promise to them, that their sorrow and reproach for being unfruitful widows shall be turned back, and not only that he says, they shall be *more* prolific in child bearing than the women of the current world. But notice what is associated with the joy of motherhood these will inherit: “keep house”. I can see where a hopeful woman of the kingdom might be dismayed some, imagining the “barefoot and pregnant” inglorious scenario, or even if they know they shall be joyful, somewhat saddened by not being able to zoom around the universe or the world in free adventure, but be stuck at home in the nursery with a bunch of misbehaving brats. That brings to mind the other depressing problem for women which is it will be hard to have that fresh schoolgirl look (the ultimate in feminine glory I say), if everyone knows you are an *ancient* mother of *countless* children, even if your physical body is made fresh as the day it was created. I can see it now, “Hey who’s that hottie? Oh God! It’s my thirty-second-generation great-great grandmother!” And it does not work to say people will forget who they are, [Psa 45:17 I will make thy name to be remembered in all generations; therefore shall the people praise thee for ever and ever.]. I guess our father God will know what to do about the problem of everyone knowing who is of the ancient, a womb of *generations*, an *ancient* mother. What is that compared to the fresh high school girl?

Finally [Job 1:4 And his sons went and feasted *in their* houses, every one his day; and sent and called for their three sisters to eat and to drink with them.] We do not know what the living situation was for the sisters, but notice the sons have their own houses, and the daughters are called to them. This implies they might either have been living at home or perhaps in their husband’s house, but the implication is the men have their own house and the women do not. If also we know that Job was righteous before God we might think the way of these children of his was right since it was he who raised them in his way, and so again is something being taught about the way of women in the world? One might ask when looking over these teachings, Did God intend for women to go off on their own and be their own masters, earn their own living by themselves, and be a master of their own house with no need of a man, but then if she finds a husband, relinquish all authority to him though she is pulling her own weight or more financially? This does not quite work if one considers in fact there really is no long term happiness for anyone apart from their male or female counterpart. Take for example a woman who made her own career as a merchant or laborer, and for some years provided her own house adequately, but upon meeting her husband she must as it is written be obedient to him, which means she can no longer run the house, or travel, or embark upon business as she decides herself. In other words the woman then loses the freedom she once had, which might result in dissatisfaction or a feeling of, What right has the man to be head of house when she is pulling half or more the weight, and take authority as head of house and the woman just because he is male? Do not mistake I say man should not be head of woman.

In the animal world there is no lioness who thinks to be equal with a lion, but in the world of man it is money which determines power. If for the happiness God has created for women they are required to be a helper for their man, making him the head, and being obedient to his ordinance, how can the woman readily fit the role as equal or greater monetary provider? Would not a moment arise where she has a different opinion about what to do with the kids or some other issue, and feeling strongly impose her monetary muscle to say, We are doing it this way? Can a man give the orders in his house, when the wife could argue and override him? Even if one points out

that no matter how much monetary power a woman might have, if she is godly with correct knowledge in the scripture regarding order, she will make her man her head, the order does not work if by her money a woman *can* override her husband, for in a situation of dire importance, and believing her man is wrong in the matter, she might then with her money say, "...then I am taking the kids..." and thus go live herself with the children. Such situations exist in *this* world and not the world to come, and all having God's perfect way in their hearts beyond failure means there is no reason people would need to deliberate such issues in the kingdom, but God has given us these teachings here in the hour of our discontent for the witness of what goes wrong *outside* the perfect way. What do we see now in this world, and how dire the consequences? Do we not see broken marriages, and homes, and sorrow, drugs, and suicides, when no one understands the purpose of marriage, and men take not the mantle of king in his own house, and woman become their own masters who say as Babylon, "I sit a queen (self ruled) and am no widow, and shall see no sorrow"?

BE DANDLED UPON HER KNEES

[Isa 66:10 Rejoice ye with Jerusalem, and be glad with her, all ye that love her: rejoice for joy with her, all ye that mourn for her: Isa 66:11 That ye may suck, and be satisfied with the breasts of her consolations; that ye may milk out, and be delighted with the abundance of her glory Isa 66:12 For thus saith the LORD, Behold, I will extend peace to her like a river, and the glory of the Gentiles like a flowing stream: then shall ye suck, ye shall be borne upon her sides, and be dandled upon her knees.]

What then is God telling us in regard to my sister, my kinswoman (Proverbs), the future queen of Israel, whom I contend this addresses? We will be delighted in her, and rejoice with her, she who is to be called our sister, our kinswoman, and the angel of the Passover. Shall I say, I will think no such thing as I am feeding upon her breasts, when God has said quite clearly, be satisfied with the breasts of her consolations, and milk out, and be delighted thereby? I should not, but is God telling us our situation is that we are children of hers, sucking at her breasts, and being carried at her side? What man of Zion shall see *that* woman, that woman standing over there, and think God has told him something untrue, and he should not think of himself as sucking at her breasts, or being borne on her sides, and dandled upon her knees? What man I say, and thinks to attend the wedding feast of the Lamb also? This may be the importance of being born again as little children that we might enter the kingdom, because those having a certain adult pride apart from God cannot be such as are dandled upon the knees, and their doctrine does not include that a woman is a city, a nation, a spirit in the people, and God, even a woman, the Omega.

Zion is defined as (Etymology: citadel : 1 a fortress that commands a city 2 STRONGHOLD), and the daughter of Zion and daughter of Jerusalem is the [Mic 4:8 And thou, O tower of the flock, the strong hold of the daughter of Zion, unto thee shall it come, even the first dominion; the kingdom shall come to the daughter of Jerusalem.] and the first head of state of the kingdom of God is, the "voice" of the temple is the queen dressed in gold (Psalm 45) and standing on the right hand of God's power. [Isa 66:7 Before she travailed, she brought forth; before her pain came, she was delivered of a man child.] *matches* [Rev 12:5 And she brought forth a man child, who was to rule all nations with a rod of iron: and her child was caught up unto God, and *to* his throne.] This then would be the true mother of Jesus, (Jerusalem above), and what we are reading here is the instruction that Jesus' mother, *is* the daughter, the same as the Son *is* the Father. The father and mother are born again as son and daughter, the prince and princess, the first and the last, the Alpha and Omega: behold the dwelling place of God is among men.

[Isa 28:10 For precept *must be* upon precept,], that is knowledge of one thing is built upon knowledge before it, and if one has not fed upon the breasts of his mother's milk as a child, the beginning knowledge is not received, and the saving knowledge of the straight and narrow path of life impossible to him. [Isa 28:9 Whom shall he teach knowledge? and whom shall he make to understand doctrine? *them that are weaned from the milk, and drawn from the breasts.*] Can those who refused their mother's call come to the saving understanding? At her rebuke did they turn from teaching their false doctrines, their idolatries, thefts, and making of lies, which I say is what the pastors and preachers able to fit in this economy, or widely accepted among men are, therefore when Jesus tells us to hear what the spirit says to the seven churches he must mean the Jews shut up in the world prisons of Babylon, (the priests and their priestess helpers), alone in dark places and of no regard among men, and those from the four corners of the earth. To which pastor shall Satan give what is his, money, to continue? Have we not read [Luk 16:15 that which is highly esteemed among men is abomination in the sight of God.], and what pastor able to pay the bills of a physical church is not highly esteemed among men? Shall they pay into the collection plate he that is not well esteemed? Jesus taught us Satan's kingdom is not divided among itself because then it could not stand, and so are *true* churches of God supported with Satan's money? Satan will provide all the money these physical

churches need when their pastors will *not* teach what is needed to depart from Satan's spirit, which is the spirit of all the flesh desires of *this* life. This is what *God* means when *she* says she has called to all men, but they have refused her call, for each person who continues to support the physical churches is called upon to repent from their ways, and listen to their voice of their mother, but they have refused, and continued on to damnation. It is amazing to me how these pastors continue in the doctrine, even teaching *some* sound scriptural lessons, but never realizing the key phrases of scripture which would alert them to the problem of their doctrine: for it is a mix of truth and comforting lies to make the attendees feel spiritual, and singing to make merry, but *not* teaching repentance for they themselves still care for the world. This I esteem each soul knew, and in hell still know.

Will there come a time when a certain girl and her friends appear, and the esteemed theologian is there to render judgment? To them she is an abomination, her mere presence a sore because it is the face of *she* whom they have despised, Jerusalem above, who is wisdom. Her face is the face of her mother. Will these not speak proud words against her, and what for them after? For they would none of her council, nor turn at *her* reproof? They are afraid of her face, and interpret that fear as enmity with God for she is strange to them, but it is their *own* enmity with God which has made her foreign. From the holy words each soul was told *who* she was, and what to expect, therefore only rebellion and failure of righteousness itself could darken their understanding, the same as all the religious figures in Jesus' day did not recognize *him* (therefore his apostles were called from everyday people, *not* of esteemed religious authority). Notice the apostles rose immediately and left all when Jesus called them, though they never had seen him before. This can only mean they were his, and knew him *before*, recognizing his face and his voice, the face and voice of the Lord God whom he resembled and *was*.

Jerusalem above and wisdom told *all* of us [Pro 1:25 But ye have set at nought all my counsel, and would none of my reproof: Pro 1:26 I also will laugh at your calamity; I will mock when your fear cometh; Pro 1:27 When your fear cometh as desolation, and your destruction cometh as a whirlwind; when distress and anguish cometh upon you. Pro 1:28 Then shall they call upon me, but I will not answer; they shall seek me early, but they shall not find me:], and notice the same pastors and Christians who call upon the name of the Lord will be aware of her by the time the consequence of their refusal comes knocking, and they will know it was the hand of God that saves, and know it was *she* whom they saw. [Isaiah 66:4 I also will choose their delusions, and will bring their fears upon them; because when I called, none did answer; when I spake, they did not hear: but they did evil before mine eyes, and chose *that* in which I delighted not.] *Matches* [Pro 1:24 Because I have called, and ye refused; I have stretched out my hand, and no man regarded;]. Whether it is destiny combined with personal choice who but God can say? but I surmise the very existence of our mother Jerusalem above is kept secure from the minds of those unsanctified, that the unworthy not call upon her.